

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
Charles Emanuel Jackson) Case No: 3:00CR216-001
Date of Previous Judgment: July 23, 2001) USM No: 16428-058
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Claire Rauscher
Defendant's Attorney)

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____ **Amended Offense Level:** _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): No reduction since the original guideline calculations did not involve crack cocaine.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The defendant filed a pro se motion in this case. As such, the Federal Defender for the Western District of North Carolina, Claire Rauscher, is named as the attorney.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated July 23, 2001 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: December 15, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Frank D. Whitney